

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,665	KLOEPPNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip C. Tucker	1712	

All Participants:

(1) Philip C. Tucker.

(2) William King.

Status of Application: After final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend claim 16 to place it in proper Markush form. Had previously agreed that a Declaration showing that the applied references of Tonar do not contain a self-healing gel would be considered.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)